EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

CIVIL CASE NO. 3:23CV272-HTW-LGI

NAACP, MISSISSIPPI NAACP, JACKSON NAACP, DERRICK JOHNSON, FRANK FIGGERS, CHARLES TAYLOR MARKYEL PITTMAN, CHARLES JONES PLAINTIFFS

AND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLAINTIFF INTERVENOR

VERSUS

SEAN TINDELL, COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC SAFETY; BO LUCKEY, CHIEF OF THE OFFICE OF CAPITOL POLICE; CHIEF JUSTICE MICHAEL K. RANDOLPH; LYNN FITCH, ATTORNEY GENERAL

DEFENDANTS

CONSOLIDATED WITH

JXN UNDIVIDED COALITION,
MISSISSIPPI VOTES, PEOPLES
ADVOCACY INSTITUTE, MISSISSIPPI
POOR PEOPLES CAMPAIGN, BLACK VOTERS,
MATTER, RUKIA LUMUMBA, AREKIA
BENNETT-SCOTT, DANYELLE HOLMES

PLAINTIFFS

VERSUS

SEAN TINDELL, COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC SAFETY; BO LUCKEY, CHIEF OF THE OFFICE OF CAPITOL POLICE DEFENDANTS

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE HENRY T. WINGATE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 5, 2023 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS, NAACP, ET AL: 4 CARROLL EDWARD RHODES, ESQUIRE LAW OFFICES OF CARROLL RHODES 5 POST OFFICE BOX 588 HAZLEHURST, MISSISSIPPI 39083 6 BRENDEN CLINE, ESQUIRE 7 MARK H. LYNCH, ESQUIRE DAVID LEAPHEART, ESQUIRE 8 COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP ONE CITY CENTER 9 850 10TH STREET N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20001 10 EVAN WALKER-WELLS, ESQUIRE 11 NAACP OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL 4805 MT. HOPE DRIVE 12 BALTIMORE, MD 21215 13 FOR THE CONSOLIDATED PLAINTIFF, JXN UNDIVIDED COALITION, ET AL: 14 PALOMA WU, ESQUIRE MISSISSIPPI CENTER FOR JUSTICE 15 210 E. CAPITOL STREET, SUITE 1800 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39201 16 17 FOR THE PLAINTIFF INTERVENOR, UNITED STATES: 18 JOHN ALBERT RUSS, ESQUIRE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 19 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. ROOM NWB-7254 20 WASHINGTON, DC 20530 21 ANGELA GIVENS WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE MITZI DEASE PAIGE, ESQUIRE 22 U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 501 EAST COURT STREET 23 SUITE 4.430 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39201 24 25

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SEAN TINDELL, BO LUCKEY, LYNN FITCH: REX M. SHANNON, III, ESQUIRE GERALD L. KUCIA, ESQUIRE MISSISSIPPI ATTORNEY GERNERAL'S OFFICE 550 HIGH STREET, SUITE 1100 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39201
3	
4	
5	
6	FOR THE DEFENDANT, CHIEF JUSTICE MICHAEL K. RANDOLPH:
7	MARK A. NELSON, ESQUIRE NED ANDREW NELSON, ESQUIRE NELSON LAW, PLLC 7 WOODSTONE PLAZA, SUITE 7 HATTIESBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39402
8	
9	
10	FOR THE CONSOLIDATED DEFENDANTS:
11	J. CHADWICK WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE WILSON D. MINOR, ESQUIRE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 550 HIGH STREET'S JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39201
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTED BY: TERI B. NORTON, RMR, FCRR, RDR 501 E. COURT STREET, SUITE 2.500 JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39201 (601)608-4186
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	4

that case.

The number of cases out of the Eleventh Circuit, specifically from Georgia, dealing with nonlawyer magistrate appointments are the Mississippi equivalent of a special master. Those are qualified by those courts as being employees who answered directly to that judge in that case. I can't tell you everything about 1020 or the judges that it contemplates, but they are not the employees of the Chief Justice. They are judges within the definition of the statute. They don't answer day to day to the Chief Justice. The appointments are made by the Chief Justice.

All of the cases that talk about special appointments or appointments of trial judges, regardless of the qualifications or their jurisdictional qualifications, uniformly hold them to be judicial acts for purposes of immunity. I have nothing further, Your Honor.

MR. MARK NELSON: May I say something on that, Your Honor? Mark Nelson. I went back and looked at the amended complaint, proposed amended complaint, which is filed as Document 80-2, and as to my client, the Chief, Count 2 says that he intentionally discriminates against the majority-Black residents in violation of the Equal Protection Clause. Then Count 3 says that Mike Randolph, the Chief Justice, "discriminates against the majority-Black residents of Jackson as protected by the Equal Protection Clause." It is only a

1 | four-count complaint.

Then we flip over to the prayer for relief, Your Honor, paragraph E, enjoining the Chief Justice from appointing anyone under Section 1. Then you go to paragraph J, and it says, enjoining the Chief Justice from appointing anyone under Section 4. There is no declaratory relief asked by the Chief Justice in this amended complaint. What it asks for is a relitigation of the injunction provisions that Your Honor has already addressed, that the Chief Justice is immune from that.

Now, I understand that my client wants to make a statement. Do you want to do that? May we have leave to --

THE COURT: Why don't you hold off on that. Just hold off on that. Thank you so much.

MR. MARK NELSON: May I answer any questions the Court may have?

THE COURT: No, no. Thank you very much.

(OFF-RECORD)

MR. LYNCH: Your Honor, if I may, for purposes of clarifying the record, Mr. Nelson got up and said that our complaint says that the Chief Justice potentially discriminates. That's not what it says in the part of the complaint. It says that HB 1020 discriminates. We don't say -- he misquoted what is in our complaint. And I want the record to reflect that.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is there any

1 disagreement to his last statement? 2 MR. MARK NELSON: Yes, sir. I read from the 3 complaint. 4 **THE COURT:** Pardon me? MR. MARK NELSON: Yes, sir. I read from the 5 complaint. I can do it again. It is in black and white in the 6 7 motion for leave. 8 MR. LYNCH: Could you state the case again, please? 9 **THE COURT:** Let's get the record straight as to what 10 it says. 11 MR. MARK NELSON: Page 51, Count 2, it says that --Count 2 under Section 1983, "1020's packing of the Hinds County 12 13 Circuit Court intentionally discriminates against the 14 majority-Black residents of Jackson on the basis of race in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth 15 16 Amendment of the United States Constitution. (Defendants Chief 17 Justice Michael K. Randolph and others, Greg Snowden, Liz 18 Welch, and John/Jane Does 1 through 4). That's on page 51. 19 On page 52 of Document Number 80-2, it says, Count 3, 42 20 U.S.C., Section 1983, "HB 1020's creation of the CCID court 21 intentionally discriminates against the majority-Black residents of Jackson on the basis of race in violation of the 22 23 Equal Protection Clause --24 THE COURT: Slow down. MR. MARK NELSON: I'm sorry. "(Defendants Chief 25

1 Justice Michael K. Randolph, Snowden, Welch, and John Doe)."

And then on page 58 is the prayer for relief, which begins on page 57, "Wherefore, plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in favor of the plaintiffs and against defendants, as follows."

Paragraph E (sic): "Preliminarily and permanently enjoin the Chief Justice from appointing any individual to become a temporary special judge of the Hinds County Circuit Court under HB 1020, Section 1."

Skipping over to page 59, at paragraph J: "Preliminarily and permanently enjoin the Chief Justice's appointment of any individual to become the CCID judge under HB 1020, Section 4." That is what I wanted to point out to the Court.

MR. LYNCH: Judge, I think it is clear now that we said that the statute does the discriminating, and we named the people that we need relief from to alleviate that discrimination. We are not saying that they personally are discriminating. They are the executors of the statute, and that's why they are in there.

I don't know why the Chief Justice keeps wanting to insist that we are making allegations against him that we haven't made. We have made some allegations against him, but these are exaggerations.

MR. MARK NELSON: Your Honor, I will let that pass.

THE COURT: All right. The complaint itself is of

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Teri B. Norton, RMR, FCRR, RDR, Official Court
Reporter for the United States District Court for the Southern
District of Mississippi, appointed pursuant to the provisions
of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify
that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings
reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in
conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is
a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and
understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

s/ Teri B. Norton

TERI B. NORTON, RMR, FCRR, RDR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER